

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	٦	
10/066,614	02/06/2002	Yuqing Xu	219175US0	4478	_	
22850	7590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER		٦	
•	SPIVAK, MCCLELLAN	RODEE, CHRISTOPHER D		Īί		
1940 DUKI ALEXAND	PRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		BER	
	,		1756			
		DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,			10
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/066,614	XU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher D RoDee	1756	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover she t with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 asion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate ext fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	·	,,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	, ·	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE:			. •
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	or reconsideration has been cons see Continuation Sheet	idered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed: 8,9 and 11-13.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,10 and 14-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			
10. Other:		J281-	
•		CHRISTOPHER ROPRIMARY EXAMIN	



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Patel discloses in step (iv) adding a stabilizer of in situ tricalcium phosphate solid particulants to the electrostatically bound toner size aggregates. Clearly the reference is concerned with maintaining the stability of the bound resin particles by this step. Given that surfactants are disclosed by Patel for maintaining the dispersed condition of the solid components and that Mahalek discloses that a mixture of tricalcium phosphate (TCP) and a surfactant are combined to maintain toner components in a dispersed condition, there is ample motivation from the art to add a surfactant to the aggregates of Patel before coalescence (i.e., before aging). The references themselves motivate the addition of the surfactant (see applicant's remarks, p. 3).